

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,080	03/01/2004	Geoff C. Gerhardt	04-273 C1	2978
Anthony J. Jan	7590 05/22/2007 iuk	EXAMINER		
WATERS COI	RPORATION	RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H		
34 Maple Street - LG Milford, MA 01757			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

11	
w	
u.	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,080	GERHARDT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William H. Rodríguez	3746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
		r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are:	•	-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	•	» <b>—</b>				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first office action in response to the above identified patent application filed on 03/01/2004.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of **U.S. Patent No. 6,712,587**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons:

With regards to independent claims 1 and 11 of the instant application, these claims recite the following elements: a hydraulic cylinder, an inlet chamber, an outlet chamber, a primary piston, a secondary piston, a secondary piston chamber, a first pump, a second pump, a solvent, and a chromatographic system. While, independent claims 1 and 11 of the patent recite the

Page 3

following elements: a hydraulic cylinder, an inlet chamber, an outlet chamber, a primary piston,

a secondary piston, a secondary piston chamber, a first pump, a second pump, a solvent, and a

chromatographic system. Therefore, independent claims 1 and 11 of the patent "anticipates"

independent claims 1 and 11 of the instant application.

Also, claims 2-10 and 12-23 of the patent anticipate dependent claims 2-10 and 12-23 of

the instant application.

Since Gerhardt et al. '587 has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Gerhardt et

al. '587 device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William H. Rodríguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4831.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dr. Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,080 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Rodriguez

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746